DOTUAN, ALA. EAGLE

Sociulou 2 Foints

Of le bellion

Originador

Bongles

E = 27,704s - 27 MAR 16 1970

## Blot On The Court

that the recent talk about impeachment of U.S. Supreme Court Justice William O. Douglas was more smoke than fire. However, it now appears that an appreciable amount was genuine fire and combustion is still present—perhaps growing ever so slightly.

The current issue of Human Events, which is published in Washington and has excellent antennae, says in its current issue that Republican Representatives, led by Rep. Gerald Ford (R-Mich.) are still seriously considering impeachment of Mr. Douglas. It continues with its updating of the Douglas case:

The aging New Deal jurist has come under critical fire for a variety of reasons: his former association with the Albert Parvin foundation; his political activities on behalf of the Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions: his open arrogance in ruling in favor of convicted pornographer Ralph Ginzburg after having accepted money from his publication.

But what has triggered the fresh storm of indignation against the judge -both within and without Congressis his controversial book, Points of Rebellion. As Human Events previously revealed, this strange little volume virtually invites revolutionary violence. The book damns as "oppressive" the CIA, the FBI, the Pentagon, "the Establishment" that runs the government and virtually every other American institution.

Simultaneously it feeds the reader a steady diet of inflammatory rhetoric. such as: "Where there is a persistant sense of futility, there is violence; and that is where we are today . . . The use of violence is deep in our history . . . The modern - day dissenters and protesters are functioning as the loyal. opposition in England . . . The use of violence as an instrument of persuasion is therefore inviting and seems to the discontented to be the only effective protest . . . Violence has no contive protest ... Violence has no con-stitutional sanctions parts were governed as a researching the impeaciment strength of the sanctions parts of sanctions are researching the impeaciment strength of the sanctions of the sanc ernment from the beginning has mov-

It was felt by many at the time , ed against it. But where grievances pile high and most of the elected spokesmen represent the Establishment, violence may be the only ef-

fective response . . .
"George III was the symbol against which our Founders made a revolution now considered bright and glorious . . . We must realize that today's Establishment is the new George III. Whether it will continue to adhere to its tactics we do not know. If it does, the redress, honored in tradition, is also revolution."

This slim, 97-page volume has fascinated impeachment-minded lawmakers. Aside from encouraging demonstrators to break the law, this book, it is claimed, has revealed Douglas to be so violently biased against American institutions that it is clearly impossible for him to render an impartial verdict on a wide variety of subjects-including such cases as the "Chicago 7."

Rep. Durward Hall (R.-Mo.), one of those who is considering joining the impeachment move, said he was "appalled" at the judge's statements, and then wisecracked that he had been left with the fear that "Justice Douglas, while taking one of his well-known hikes in the woods, might have been attacked by a flock of 'coo-coo' birds and pecked into mental incompetence."

Rep. William Scherle (R.-Iowa) tagged Douglas a "peacenik" and an "aging Lothario." "If Justice Douglas, whom the taxpayers are subsidizing at \$60,000 a year for life," said Scherle, "really believes his own incoherent drivel, he should resign from the Supreme Court and spend his time scampering up and down the witless paths followed by other anarchists."

While some of the remarks about Douglas have been wrapped in humorous trappings, the seriousness with which House lawmakers look upon a possible anti-Douglas campaign can be seen in the fact that Rep. Ford was busily poring over Douglas' book last week to see if there were sufficient grounds for impeachment. Both Ford's staff and the staff of other lawmak-

of indictment" against the Judge hav-

ing already been drawn up.

House lawmakers are also duly impressed that Rep. Louis Wyman (R.-N.H.) has dsplayed particular interest in a possible impeachment attempt. Wyman has wide respect among his colleagues for his distinguished career in the law. Aside from having one of New Hampshire's most able attorneys general, Wyman was previously president of the National Association of Attorneys General and was chairman of the American Bar Association's Standing Committee on Jurisprudence and Law Reform.

Brandishing the Douglas volume before his colleagues recently, Wyman stressed that he was "deeply concerned by the publication of a written statement of views by a sitting justice of the Supreme Court openly encouraging violence in the United States if dissenters in certain cases do not get their way .

been one of New Hampshire's most able attorneys general, Wyman was previously president of the National Association of Attorneys General and was chairman of the American Bar Association's Standing Committee on

"(I)f it can be said that a stock transaction of Judge Haynsworth was a disqualification for the High Court, or as urged by some, that statements in a political campaign 20 years ago by Judge Carswell is an objection, how vastly worse to have this kind of provocation wilfully distributed from the apparent safety of incumbency by one already on the court . . .

"Its publication in my opinion constitutes conduct incompatible with judicial good behavior. It is surely high misdemeanor sufficient to warrant removal from the High Court. We have enough troubles in America without the fires being wilfully fanned by the extrajudicial writings of a sitting member of the court. Justice Douglas should resign from the Supreme Court forthwith. If he does not resign, he should be removed." Thus, the campaign to impeach Justice Douglas from the court proceeds apace.

Commenting on Douglas' book, New York Daily News columnist Jerry